Applicant

:

Isaac Ostrovsky et al.

Appl. No.

10/017,534

Examiner Docket No.

Patrick J. Connolly

701470.19

REMARKS

Claims 1-63 are currently pending, of which claims 1, 16, 22, 33, 53, and 58 are independent. Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 20, 22, 24, 33, 35, 36, 42, 43, 53, 56, and 58 have been amended. Claims 74-87 have been added. "First sample light beam" and "second sample light beam" are now referred to as "sample light beam" and "reflected sample light beam" respectively. Further, the phrasing "at least one of" has been removed from claims 1, 16, and 56 to overcome the Examiner's objections to the claims being unclear. Applicants believe that the claims are now currently in condition for allowance over the cited prior art.

As to claims 1 and 58, while the Zeylikovich reference may teach an apparatus having first and second beam splitters, the reference does not disclose having a reflected sample light beam and a diffracted reference light beam combined <u>in</u> the second beam splitter to form a combined light beam as required by Claim 1. Nor does Zeylikovich disclose combining a reflected sample light beam with a diffracted light beam <u>by</u> a beam splitter to form a combined light beam as required by Claim 58.

As to claims 3-5, because claim 1 is patentably distinguishable over the Zeylikovich reference, then so are claims 3-5, which are dependent on claim 1.

Further, with regard to the Zeylikovich reference in combination with Swanson (U.S. Patent No. 5,459,570), and the Zeylikovich reference in combination with Tearney (U.S. Patent No. 6,134,003), none of these references, in combination or separately, teach or suggest combining the elements of the instant invention. Specifically, none of these references, in combination or separately, teach or suggest having a reflected sample light beam and a diffracted reference light beam combined <u>in</u> a second beam splitter as required by claims 1, 22, and 33;

Applicant

Isaac Ostrovsky et al.

Appl. No.

10/017,534

Examiner

Patrick J. Connolly

Docket No.

701470.19

having a second beam splitter <u>form</u> two combined light beams from the reflected sample beam and the diffracted reference beam, as required by claim 16; having a second beam splitter for <u>generating</u> two combined light beams from a reflected sample light beam and a reference light beam as required by 53; or combining a reflected sample light beam with a diffracted light beam by a beam splitter to form a combined light beam as required by claim 58.

Accordingly, independent claims 1, 16, 22, 33, 53 and 58 are patentable over the cited references, in combination or separately, as is the corresponding dependent claims.

Applicant

Isaac Ostrovsky et al.

Appl. No.

10/017,534

Examiner

Patrick J. Connolly

Docket No.

701470.19

Conclusion

Prompt and favorable action on the merits of the claims is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions or comments, the undersigned can be reached at (949) 949-852-7745.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee which may be required in connection with this Amendment to Deposit Account No. 150665.

Respectfully submitted,

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

Dated: August 30, 2004

Joseph K. Liu

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1600 Irvine, CA 92614-2558 Tel. 949-567-6700

Fax: 949-567-6710

Customer Number: 34313